Dear The Federal Communications Commission,

Our local phone company bill is very expensive. Our local call area is extremely limited, so most calls end up long distance, so we use a pre paid calling card for them. We can't even call the neighboring small towns or villages without a long distance call. This has helped keep our costs within reason. The prepaid wireless and prepaid long distance help us to keep these costs within reason. I don't want to lose these benefits so that big businesses can pay less than their fair share. I urge you to reject the proposal to move the USF collection system to a flat-fee. Flat fee is a ridiculuous concept.

I use my wireless prepaid phone for emergency use only. We live in a rural area where cell phones are not even usable in some areas without boosters, antennas, etc. which we do not have.

I do not want to pay more for my telephone service! I urge you to reject a flat fee proposal that would change how contributions are made to the Universal Service Fund. I am concerned that this proposal would make my current service unaffordable.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as a high-volume residential or business customers. This is unfair!

Keep the USF Fair!

Sincerely,

Evonne Zosel 6697 S Degerman Rd Lake Nebagamon, Wisconsin 54849